

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

HILLO	States Latelle and Fladelinaik Office
ddress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/223,516	12/30/1998	DENNIS M. O'CONNOR	INTL-0134-US	1486
7:	590 05/20/2004		EXAM	INER
TIMOTHY N		NGUYEN, HUY THANH		
	R HU & MILES REEWAY SUITE 100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, T	X 77024	2615	16	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	4						
` .	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/223,516	O'CONNOR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	HUY T NGUYEN	2615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir my period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>08 March 2004</i> .						
	,—						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-15 and 40-44 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-15 and 40-44 is/are rejected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrictions	withdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers)					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objectio	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15, line 2, there is no antecedent basis for "said indication".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Art Unit: 2615

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 12-15, 40-42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woo (5,485,219) in view of Vogel (4,097,893).

Regarding claims 40-41 and 12,14-15 ,42 and 44, Woo discloses a transmitter (Fig. 1) and a receiver, the transmitter comprising: a monitor that monitors an ongoing video transmission and provides an control signal comprises a start cue and a end cue (code command) associated with a portion of a broadcast program to a large number of receivers to recording the portion associated with the control signal, wherein said transmission device is an over the air broadcast television transmitter (Fig. 1, Abstract) or cable television transmitter transmission (column 3, lines 30-35, line 41 to column 4, line 15, column 5, line 27 to column 8, line 17, columns 10 and 11).

Woo fails to specifically teach that the recording command is transmitted together with video transmission. However, it is noted that transmitting a control signal inserted in the broadcast program to receiver to record a portion is well known in the art as taught by Vogel. Vogel at figure 3, teaches means for inserting command code (indicating signal), which separately received from a monitor, in a vertical interval of a video transmission to control a recorder to record a selected portion of a video transmission indicating by a start command (Fig. 3, column 5, lines 30-50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the at to modify Woo with

Art Unit: 2615

Vogel by using a inserting means as taught by Vogel for transmission the recording command along with the video transmission by inserting the recording command in a vertical blanking intervals that use for carrying closed captioned of the video transmission, as an alternative method for transmitting the recording command signal of Woo, for transmitting the recording command along with the video transmission.

Woo as modified Vogel fails to specifically teach monitoring a replay that is a video segment of a broadcast program. However, it is noted that transmitting a replay during a broadcast program of a video transmission is well known the art. Therefore official notice is taken and it would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the at to modify Woo as modified with Vogel with by using the transmitter of Woo as modified with Vogel for receiving, monitoring a replay of the video transmission and generating the control signal, inserting the control signal in the broadcast program to receivers to record the replay when the user of receiver requested.

Regarding claim 13, Woo fails to specifically teach that the video transmission is from a satellite transmitter. However, it is noted that using a satellite transmitter for transmitting video signal is well known in the art. Therefore, official notice is taken and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Woo by using a satellite transmitter for transmitting video transmission.

5. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woo (5,485,219) in view of Vogel (4,097,893) as applied to claims 41 above, further in view of Nagasaka et al (5,818,493).

Woo as modified with Vogel fails teach using information to determined a

Art Unit: 2615

displaying format size of a replay.

Nakasaka teaches using information for video data to indicate a display size of video data on a monitor (column 6, lines 55-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary sill in the art t modify Woo as modified with Vogel with the teaching of Nakasaka by providing the information for the size of the replay thereby enhancing the capacity of a monitor for preserving the remain area on the monitor for other display.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 08 March 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2615

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N

HUY MOVEN
PRIMARY EXAMINED